Abstract: This paper investigates the existence of long memory in the volatility of the Mexican stock market. We use a stochastic volatility (SV) model to derive statistical test for changes in volatility. In this case, estimation is carried out through the Kalman filter (KF) and the improved quasi-maximum likelihood (IQML). We also test for both persistence and long memory by using a long-memory stochastic volatility (LMSV) model, constructed by including an autoregressive fractionally integrated moving average (ARFIMA) process in a stochastic volatility scheme. Under this framework, we work up maximum likelihood spectral estimators and bootstrapped confidence intervals. In the light of the empirical findings, we develop a Bayesian model for pricing derivative securities with prior information on long-memory volatility.
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Resumen: Este trabajo investiga la existencia de memoria de largo plazo en la volatilidad del mercado bursátil mexicano. Se utiliza un modelo de volatilidad estocástica (SV) para derivar pruebas estadísticas de cambios en la volatilidad. En este caso, la estimación de los parámetros se lleva a cabo a través del Filtro de Kalman (KF) y el método mejorado de cuasi máxima verosimilitud (IQML). Asimismo, se prueba la persistencia y la memoria de
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largo plazo utilizando un modelo de volatilidad estocástica de memoria de largo plazo (LMSV), el cual se construye incluyendo un proceso autorregresivo y de promedios móviles integrado y fraccionario (ARFIMA) dentro de un esquema de volatilidad estocástica. Bajo este marco, se trabaja con los estimadores espectrales de máxima verosimilitud y con intervalos de confianza generados con la técnica "bootstrap". Con base en los resultados empíricos presentados, se desarrolla un modelo Bayesiano para valuar productos derivados cuando existe información a priori sobre volatilidad con memoria de largo plazo.

Palabras clave: valuación de productos, modelos econométricos.

1. Introduction

The consideration of prior information, before data is collected, when pricing contingent claims is not just a sophisticated extension but an essential issue to be taken into account for the theory and practice of derivatives. See, for instance, Korn and Wilmott (1996) and Jensen (2001). In contingent pricing, it is of particular interest to draw inferences about the volatility of the underlying asset on the basis of prior information.

The most common set-up of the continuous time stochastic volatility model consists of a geometric Brownian motion correlated with a mean-reverting Orstein-Uhlenbeck process. This approach for pricing and hedging derivatives has been widely studied. See, for instance, Ball and Roma (1994), Heston (1993), Stein and Stein (1991), and Wiggins (1996). In particular, the stochastic volatility model allows us to reproduce in a more realistic way asset returns, specially in the presence of fat tails (Wilmott 1998), asymmetry in the distribution (Fouque, Papanicolaou, and Sircar, 2000), and the smile effect (Hull and White, 1987). Despite this large body of theoretical advancement, the time continuous stochastic volatility model does not provide any economic intuition on the investor behavior. And, more importantly, there is a set of empirical regularities (or stylized facts) that are not reproduced by the time continuous stochastic volatility model and still need to be explained. In particular, it is missing a satisfactory explanation of how investors, ranging from non corporate individual investors to large trading institution, choose a suitable distribution to model their expectations on the dynamics of volatility.

The temporal behavior of the stock market volatility has been, for the last decades, an issue of increasing interest. It is argued that, for
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emerging stock markets, shocks to volatility persist for a very long time affecting significantly stock prices. The presence of long-memory volatility in asset returns has important implications for pricing contingent claims in emerging markets.

The growing economic importance of emerging stock markets characterized by singular institutional and regulatory frameworks provides an interesting environment to test for the existence of persistence and long-memory components in the volatility.¹ These markets exhibit high (expected) returns as well as high volatility, and very little is known about the long-term effects of volatility. While the empirical literature has provided a considerable amount of research on information arrival and return volatility dynamics, it is missing a study on the persistence and long memory in the volatility in Latin American stock markets. This paper investigates the persistence and long memory components in the volatility of the Mexican stock market. Data was obtained from the International Finance Corporation (IFC) through Bloomberg, and spans from December 1988 to November 1998 yielding a sample size of 515 weekly observations.

Most of the empirical studies of long-memory processes have mainly concentrated on financial markets producing a large amount of research on the process specification in terms of the second moment (cf. Hurvich and Soulier, 2001). Ding, Granger and Engle (1993) proposed a model on the fractional moments, namely, the asymmetric power ARCH model (A-PARCH). However, this model does not reproduce appropriately long-memory characteristics. More recently, Baille, Bollerslev and Mikkelsen (1996) presented a different approach to extend the GARCH class to account for long memory, and developed the fractionally integrated GARCH process (FIGARCH) which reproduces long memory in volatility. Finally, Bollerslev and Mikkelsen (1996) extended the model to the fractionally integrated exponential GARCH process (FIEGARCH), which allows for nonsymmetrical shocks in the FIGARCH scheme.

There is an alternative to the ARCH type modeling that allows the variance to depend not only on past observations, but also on an underlying stochastic process driving the volatility, such as an autoregressive process. This model is called stochastic volatility or stochastic variance (SV) model. The SV model is also known in the literature as the

¹ Regarding the institutional and regulatory framework and their effects on volatility for the Mexican case, see Venegas-Martínez (2001).
mixture model. Clark (1973) introduced the SV model as a natural way to model an unobservable variable. In his approach, the asset market is assumed to be in one of two states: a Walrasian equilibrium or a transitory equilibrium. As information arrives, heterogeneous agents reevaluate their desired portfolios and trade until they reach a new equilibrium. The market therefore goes through a sequence of equilibria. Clark (1973) models the variance by means of an i.i.d. log-normal process, finding a better fitting than alternative distributions such as the Poisson distribution. In a very stimulating paper Breidt, Crato and de Lima (1998) developed a model for the detection and estimation of long memory in the stochastic volatility framework (henceforth LMSV). This model is constructed by including an autoregressive fractionally integrated moving average (henceforth ARFIMA) process in a stochastic volatility scheme SV.

Our plan for testing for persistence and long memory in the volatility of the return rate of the Mexican IFC index has a number of steps. First, we use stochastic volatility (SV) models, see for instance Taylor (1986), to describe changes in volatility of the stock returns over time by treating volatility as an unobserved variable. This approach is based on treating the logarithmic volatility as a linear stochastic process (more precisely, as an autoregressive model of order 1). The Kalman filter (KF) approach is then used to obtain smoothed estimates and predictions of the underlying volatility. In this case, estimation is carried out through the improved quasi-maximum likelihood (IQML), suggested by Breidt and Carriquiry (1996). Second, we test for the existence of a long-memory component in the SV by applying two traditional tests: 1) the modified rescaled range statistic, suggested by Lo (1991), and 2) the frequency domain analysis, proposed by Geweke and Porter-Hudak (1983). Third, we use a LMSV model to test for both persistence and long memory by working up maximum likelihood spectral estimators, as suggested by Breidt, Crato and De Lima (1998). Since our sample size ($T = 515$) is small compared with those used by Breidt, Crato and De Lima (1998), we used a bootstrapped confidence interval for the parameters.

We find strong empirical evidence of long memory in the volatility of the Mexican stock market. It is shown that volatility of the Mexican stock market is fractionally integrated, so volatility does not return to the previous mean after the occurrence of an exogenous shock. In section 3, we shall find that the long-memory parameter value is below a threshold value $\frac{1}{2}$ in the statistical test. In the light of our
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empirical findings, it is necessary to account for a satisfactory explanation of how investors incorporate in their expectations the long-term dynamics of volatility when making financial decisions. After all, agents should be able to catch up persistent volatility sooner or later. In this paper, we develop a new Bayesian method to price derivative securities when there is prior information on long-memory volatility in terms of expected values of levels and rates, i.e., in terms of expectations on the potential level of volatility and on the rate at which volatility changes. In our proposal, investors are rational and use, efficiently, all prior information by maximizing an information measure on the set of all admissible prior distributions. After all, the core of finance theory (mathematical or empirical) is the study of the behavior of economic agents in an uncertain environment.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly review the stochastic volatility (SV) model, the fractionally integrated (ARFIMA) model, and the long-memory stochastic volatility (LMSV) model. In section 3, we report our empirical findings on the basis of the SV, ARFIMA, and LMSV models. In section 4, we state the Bayesian approach to prior information. In section 5, we develop a Bayesian model to price derivative securities with prior information on long-memory volatility. We also examine some asymptotic and polynomial approximations and their implications in valuing contingent claims. Finally, in section 6, we present conclusions, acknowledge limitations, and make suggestions for further research.

2. Review of Econometric Modeling of Information Arrivals and Volatility Dynamics

Modeling volatility as a stochastic process is a complex issue. In the real world, volatility is not an observable variable and there is not a generally accepted stochastic volatility model. One of the most widespread set-up of the stochastic volatility model (see, for instance, Taylor, 1986), expresses the stochastic variance as:

\[ y_t = \sigma_t \zeta_t, \quad \sigma_t = \zeta \exp(h_t / 2), \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, T , \]  

where \( h_t \) follows an AR(1) process:

\[ h_t = \varphi h_{t-1} + \eta_t, \quad \eta_t \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, \sigma_\eta^2). \]
Here, \( \varsigma \) is a constant, and \( \xi_t \) and \( \eta_t \) are assumed to be independent processes. If the autoregressive parameter \( \phi \) lies in \((-1,1)\), then (2) becomes a stationary process. If \( \xi_t \) and \( \eta_t \) are independent Gaussian white noises with variances 1 and \( \sigma^2_\eta \), respectively, the scheme in (1)-(2) is known as the log-normal SV model. One interpretation of the log-volatility at time \( t \), \( h_t \), is that it represents the random flow of new information. The parameter \( \varsigma \) plays the role of a constant scaling factor and can be thought of as the modal instantaneous volatility, \( \phi \) is the persistence in the volatility, and \( \sigma^2_\eta \) stands for the volatility of the log-volatility.

Although the above model is quite simple, it is capable of representing a wide range of behaviors for volatility. Like the ARCH models, the SV model can give rise to high persistence in volatility. One of the inconveniences of using SV models is that unlike the ARCH models, it is difficult to write down the exact likelihood function. However, they do have other compensating useful statistical attractions, for instance, the model can be expressed in a linear state-space form. Some approaches for estimation in the SV model are: 1) the quasi-maximum likelihood (QML) suggested by Nelson (1988), and Harvey, Ruiz and Shephard (1994); 2) the hierarchical Bayesian approach proposed by Jacquier, Polson and Rossi (1994); and 3) the improved quasi-maximum likelihood (IQML) approach presented by Breidt and Carriquiry (1996). It is worthwhile to remark that in the QML approach, the non-linear stochastic volatility model is linearized and the resulting linear state-space model is treated as Gaussian. Nelson (1988), Harvey, Ruiz and Shephard (1994) employed approximate linear filtering methods to produce a quasi-maximum likelihood estimator, and pointed out that the accuracy of the normality approximation used in the filtering approach will worsen as the variance decreases. Notice that the transformation from SV models into state-space models cannot be carried out with observations close to zero. Indeed, the result from the transformation becomes suspicious whenever applied to inliers.

Under the Bayesian framework, Jacquier, Polson and Rossi (1994) proposed a hierarchical method. The main characteristic of their method is its performance in parameter estimation and smoothing in SV models by relying on the appropriate marginal posterior distributions. These authors use a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method and through sampling experiments showed that their estimates performed well relative to the QML approach. On the other hand, following a suggestion from Fuller (1996), Breidt and Carriquiry (1996) have
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modified the log(y²_t) transformation to reduce the sensitivity of the estimation procedure to inliers. Their proposal consists of applying a linear transformation to shifted values of the observations, where the shift is determined by the slope of the tangent line to the transformation. In this regard, Breidt and Carriquiry (1996) carried out a sampling experiment similar to that of Jacquier, Polson and Rossi and showed that their approach significantly improved the performance of the usual QML estimators. For most of the true parameter values in the simulation exercise their improved QML (IQML) estimators perform as well as the Bayesian estimators in terms of bias. The IQML estimators have, however, a higher root mean square error (RMSE) than the Bayesian estimators.

2.1. The Quasi-Maximum Likelihood (QML) Estimator

Following Nelson (1988) and Harvey and Shephard (1993), after transforming y_t by taking logarithm of the square of y_t, the following state-space model is obtained:

\[ \log y^2_t = \log \varsigma^2 + E[\log(\xi^2_t)] + h_t + \varepsilon_t \]
\[ = \mu + h_t + \varepsilon_t \]  

where

\[ h_t = \phi h_{t-1} + \eta_t, \quad t = 1,2,\ldots,T. \]  

Here, the disturbance term satisfies \( \varepsilon_t = \log(\xi^2_t) - E[\log(\xi^2_t)] \). In this case, \( \varepsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d.} \), and its statistical properties depend upon the distribution of \( \xi_t \). If \( \xi_t \sim \text{i.i.d.} \ N(0,1) \) it can be shown, according to the results in Bartlett and Kendall (1946), that the mean and variance of \( \log(\xi^2_t) \) are \( E[\log(\xi^2_t)] = -1.27 \) and \( \sigma^2_\varepsilon = \pi^2/2 \), respectively. Also, it can be shown that the skewness and the kurtosis are \(-1.5351\) and \(4\), respectively. Nelson (1988) pointed out that under transformation (3), the model (1) – (2) is easier to analyze. For instance, if the \( \xi_t \) are non-Gaussian, the Kalman filter (KF) can still be used to produce the best linear unbiased estimator of \( h_t \) given the logarithms of the squared previous returns. Furthermore, the smoother provides the best linear unbiased estimator. The parameters can be estimated following the suggestion of Harvey, Ruiz and Shephard (1994) using the following quasi log-likelihood
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\[
\log\{L(\beta, y)\} = -\frac{T}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log f_t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (v_t^2 / f_t),
\]

where \(\beta\) is the parameter to be estimated; \(v_t\) is the one-step-ahead prediction error; and \(f_t\) is the corresponding mean squared error from the Kalman filter. If (4) is a Gaussian state-space model, then (5) becomes the exact likelihood; otherwise (5) is called the quasi-likelihood and can be still used to provide a consistent estimator \(\hat{\beta}\).

2.2. The Improved Quasi-Maximum Likelihood (IQML) Estimator

In transforming a stochastic volatility model into a state-space form, some problems arise when observations are close to zero since the transformation becomes suspicious whenever applied to inliers. Several remedies have been proposed to accommodate inliers. Breidt and Carriquiry (1996) modified the logarithmic transformation by evaluating not at the possibly zero measurement, but at a small enough shift, and then extrapolating linearly. Thus, in the stochastic volatility context, Breidt and Carriquiry obtained the robustified transformation, \(x_t^*\) given by

\[
x_t^* = \log(y_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2) - (y_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2)^{-1} \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 = \log(\sigma_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2}) - (\xi_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2})^{-1} \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2} = \mu^* + h_t + \xi_t^*
\]

where

\[
\mu^* = \log(\xi^2) + E[\log(\xi_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2}) - (\sigma_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2})^{-1} \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2}]
\]

and

\[
\xi_t^* = \log(\xi_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2}) - (\xi_t^2 + \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2})^{-1} \delta \hat{\sigma}^2 \sigma_t^{-2} - \mu^* = \log(\xi^2).
\]

Here, \(\delta\) is some (small) constant, and \(\hat{\sigma}^2\) is the sample mean of \(y_t^2\). Breidt and Carriquiry (1996) reported a value of \(\delta = 0.005\) as the smallest value for which the excess kurtosis of \(\xi_t^*\) was near zero for a wide range of parameter values. This value of \(\delta\) also reduces substantially the skewness of \(\xi_t^*\). These authors also reported that the variance of
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$\{\xi_t^*\}$ is no longer $\pi^2/2$ when $\xi_t$ is Gaussian and suggested that the variance of $\{\xi_t^*\}$ be treated as a free parameter and estimated from the data. This transformation reduces the sensitivity of the estimation procedure to small values of $y_t$. It is worthwhile to mention that Breidt and Carriquiry’s (1996) IQML estimators have a better performance than that of the QML estimators when dealing with small samples.

2.3. Persistence and long memory

Most of the recent empirical investigation of conditional variance models has suggested that stock markets volatility may display a type of long-range persistence. As we mentioned above, this type of persistence cannot be appropriately modeled with traditional ARCH models. The same limitation applies to SV models in their standard formulation. A lot of observed time series, although satisfying the assumption of stationarity, seem to exhibit a dependence between distant observations that, although small, is by no means negligible. This may be characterized as a tendency for large values to be followed by larger values of the same sign in such a way that the series seem to go through a succession of cycles, including long cycles whose length is comparable with the total sample size. This point of view has persuasively been argued by Mandelbrot (1969, 1972) in considering non-Gaussian distributions to explore the structure of serial dependence in economic time series. These findings show that market volatility displays persistent features, but since both GARCH and the SV models in their standard formulation are short memory models, the only way to reproduce persistence is by approximating a unit root. Empirical evidence that this persistence in stock market volatility can be characterized as long memory has been presented in recent research by Breidt, Crato and de Lima (1998). These authors constructed a long memory stochastic volatility model (LMSVM) by including an autoregressive fractionally integrated moving average (ARFIMA) process in a stochastic volatility scheme SV. In other words, $h_t$ is generated by a fractional noise:

$$(1 - L)^d h_t = \eta_t, \quad \eta_t \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_t^2), \quad 0 \leq d \leq 1. \quad (7)$$

Like the AR(1) model in (4), this process reduces to white noise at the boundary of the parameter space, that is at $d = 0$ and $d = 1$. How-
ever, model (7) becomes stationary when \( d < \frac{1}{2} \). Thus, the transition from stationarity to non-stationarity proceeds in a different way to that of the \( AR(1) \) model in (4). A negative value of \( d \) is quite legitimate. Indeed, when \( h_t \) is non-stationary, the first difference of \( h_t \) provides a stationary intermediate memory process when \(-\frac{1}{2} \leq d \leq 0\). More generally, \( h_t \) can be modeled as an ARFIMA \((p,d,q)\) defined as follows:

\[
(1-L)^d \Phi(L)h_t = \Theta(L)\eta_t, \quad \eta_t \sim NID(0, \sigma^2_\eta).
\]  

For a LMSV model, the QML estimation in the time domain becomes less attractive because the state-space model can only be used by expressing \( h_t \) as an \( AR \) or \( MA \) process and truncating at a suitably high lag. As an alternative, a frequency domain solution has been provided by Breidt, Crato and De Lima (1998) in the following way. The spectral density of (3), assuming that \( h_t \) is generated by (8), is given by

\[
f_\beta(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma^2_\eta | \beta (e^{i\lambda})|^2}{2\pi |1 - e^{-i\lambda}|^2} \left| \frac{\Phi (e^{-i\lambda})}{\Phi (e^{i\lambda})} \right|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2_\epsilon}{2\pi}, \quad -\pi \leq \lambda \leq \pi, \quad (9)
\]

where \( \beta = (d, \sigma^2_\eta, \sigma^2_\epsilon, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_p, \theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_q)' \). The frequency domain quasi-likelihood function is

\[
\log \{L(\beta)\} = 2\pi T^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{[T/2]} \log \{f_\beta(\lambda_k) + \lfloor I_k(\lambda_k) / f_\beta(\lambda) \rfloor \} \right\}, \quad (10)
\]

where \([\cdot]\) denotes the integer part, \( \lambda_k = 2\pi k/T \), is the \( k \)-th Fourier frequency, and \( I_k(\lambda_k) \) is the \( k \)-th normalized periodogram ordinate. By maximizing (10), Breidt, Crato, and De Lima (1998) find strong consistent estimators of \( \beta \).

### 3. Empirical Analysis of Information Arrivals and Stock Return Volatility Dynamics

Empirical evidence shows that while financial variables such as stock returns are serially uncorrelated over time, their squares are not. Therefore, in order to check for the appropriateness of long memory component in the \( SV \) model, we will use a volatility proxy, namely, the logarithm of the squared returns.
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3.1. The IQML Estimates of SV Models

In Clark’s (1973) model, the asset market is assumed to be in one of two states: Walraisan equilibrium or a transitory equilibrium. Each time information arrives, the market reaches a new equilibrium. Therefore, according to Granger (1980), the stock price index (which involves heterogeneous dynamic relationships at the individual level that are then aggregated to form a time series) will be fractionally integrated and obey long memory. A long memory time series model is one having spectrum of order \( \lambda^{-2d} \) for small frequencies \( \lambda \), \( d > 0 \). These models have infinite variance for \( d \geq \frac{1}{2} \), but finite variance for \( d < \frac{1}{2} \). Thus, if different sets of heterogeneous agents reevaluate their desired portfolios and trade until they reach a new equilibrium, aggregating those individuals will produce fractional integration. One might expect that more restricted financial markets will generate homogeneous agents, while the least restricted financial markets will generate heterogeneous agents. Therefore, we expect that the least restricted financial markets will be characterized by a larger degree of persistence and long memory component in volatility than restricted markets.

We apply now the IQML estimation method to Mexican stock market index. The empirical analysis is carried out with the series of the first difference of the logarithms of the squared return rate. For convenience, the rate of return has been corrected by their sample means. Table 1 shows the IQML estimates of the parameters \( \varsigma \), \( \phi \), and \( \sigma_{\eta}^2 \). Values of \( \phi \) close to one indicate considerable persistence in log-volatility. We observe that the estimate of the autoregressive parameter, \( \phi \), is 0.954, implying a high degree persistence of the log-volatility.

The IQML estimation of the SV model via the KF provides smoothed and filter estimates of the variance component. The smoothed estimator, known simply as the smoother, is based on more information than the filtered estimator, and so it will have a mean square error (MSE) matrix which, in general, is smaller than that of the filtered estimator. Figure 1(a,b) shows the graph of the absolute value of the returns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Persistence (\phi)</th>
<th>Volatility of the log-volatility (\sigma_{\eta}^2)</th>
<th>Scaling factor (\varsigma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Long-memory in the volatility on Mexico stock returns

These graphs show the expected feature of the filtered volatility lagging the smoothed volatility. Also, we notice that the smoothed estimate of the log-volatility for Mexico shows the behavior of a time series with a permanent component typical of a long memory time series. It is well known that the section of the spectrum of most interest in economic analysis is the low-frequency range, within which the long-run component is concentrated. Unfortunately, this range is the most difficult to deal with, and any trend in the series will give the zero frequency bands a large value. Finally, Figure 1(c,d) shows the periodogram and the parametric estimates of the spectrum of stock market volatility. As we can see, all spectra have a shape typical of an economic variable (see Granger, 1966).
3.2. Testing for Long Memory in Volatility

Fractionally integrated models have received great attention because of their ability to produce a natural and flexible characterization of the persistence process. In the general ARFIMA model given in (8), the fractional differentiation operator \((1 - L)^d\) can be expressed as a binomial expansion. The process would be stationary and invertible if \(-\frac{1}{2} < d < \frac{1}{2}\). In case that \(d \neq 0\), the ARFIMA model displays a substantial dependence between distant observations. Indeed, as time between observations increases, the ARFIMA autocorrelations decline at a very slow hyperbolic rate. In contrast, the ARMA autocorrelation declines at an exponential rate.

Why fractional integration instead of testing for unit roots? Fractional integration addresses a shortcoming that commonly used ARIMA models have with modeling the degree and type of persistence in a time series. ARIMA models have three parameters: \(p\), \(d\), and \(q\). The parameter corresponding to the number of lags involved in the autoregressive portion of the time series is \(p\). The parameter for the moving average lags is \(q\). Finally, \(d\) is a dichotomous variable indicating whether the series is integrated or not. If the series is integrated, \(d\) takes a value 1. Otherwise, \(d\) equals 0, and the model is referred as an ARMA model. ARFIMA models allow \(d\) to take any value, not just 0 or 1, \(d\) is a real number. Instead of being forced into modeling data as either stationary, that is, \(I(0)\) or as integrated, that is \(I(1)\), we are more accurately model the dynamics of the series with fractional integration, \(I(d)\), where \(d\) can still 0 or 1, but any fraction as well. If data are stationary, external shocks can have a short-term impact, but little long-term effects, as the data revert to the means of the series at an exponential rate. In contrast, integrated data do no decay, that is, do not return to the previous mean after an external shock has been felt. ARIMA models do not account for the possibility that data can be mean reverting while still exhibiting effects of shocks long since passed. By allowing \(d\) to take fractional values, we allow data to be mean reverting and to still have long memory in the process.

Geweke and Porter-Hudak (1983) propose a semi non-parametric method for estimating \(d\) using the OLS regression based on the periodogram. The significance of the fractional integration, \(d\), is indicated by the standard t-statistics. One of the advantages to use this frequency domain regression method is that it allows the estimation of \(d\) without knowledge of \(p\) and \(q\) in the ARFIMA\((p,d,q)\) model. The
other statistic used to test for long memory is the modified rescaled range statistic\(^2\) suggested by Lo (1991). In this case, if only short memory is presented, the modified rescaled range statistics,\(^3\) denoted here by \(\hat{J} (T,q)\), converges to \(\frac{1}{2}\). If long memory is present, then \(\hat{J} (T,q)\) converges to a value larger than \(\frac{1}{2}\). The first three columns of Table 2 report the results of the spectral regression tests. These three columns show the estimate of the parameter \(d\) with its corresponding \(p\)-value for the null hypothesis. This choice is made according to the suggestion in Geweke and Porter-Hundak’s (1983) work. Finally, notice that the estimates of the order of fractional integration are quite robust across the variation in \(u\).

The values of the estimate of the parameter \(d\) are significantly different from zero. This indicates long memory for the stock market volatility of Mexico. The last two columns of Table 2 report the estimates of \(\hat{J} (T,q)\), the modified rescaled range, and \(\hat{J} (T,q^*)\), modified rescaled range computed with Andrews’ (1991) data-dependent formula. Values for both estimates, \(\hat{J} (T,q)\) and \(\hat{J} (T,q^*)\) are significantly different from \(\frac{1}{2}\), indicating long memory in the stock market volatility. These findings suggest that volatility in the Mexican stock is fractionally integrated, which implies that volatility does not return to its previous mean after the occurrence of an external shock.

---

\(^2\) The rescaled range statistic was first introduced by Hurst (1951) in studying long-term storage capacity of reservoirs.

\(^3\) For details and explicit formulas of the modified rescaled range statistic, we direct the reader to Lo (1991).
3.3. Long-Memory Stochastic Volatility (LMSV) Model

We now test for long memory by using the long-memory stochastic volatility (LMSV) model suggested by Breidt, Crato, and De Lima (1998). This approach to testing for long memory provides a feasible frequency domain likelihood estimator for the parameters in the LMSV model. In this case, the returns $y_t$ are modeled as

$$ y_t = h_t + \varepsilon_t $$

where $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, \sigma^2)$). Here, $\varepsilon_t$, $h_t$ are supposed to be independent, and $h_t$ follows an ARFIMA(1,d,0) process as defined in (8). The spectral likelihood for the $y_t$'s is formulated in equation (10). In this case, assuming $\varepsilon_t$ normally distributed, the resulting likelihood is maximized with respect to $d$ and $\phi$. Table 3 reports the maximum likelihood spectral estimators for $\sigma^2$, $d$, and $\phi$. The estimate of the autoregressive parameter, $\phi$, with a value 0.953, indicates persistence in the log-volatility in the Mexican market stock. The estimate of the parameter $d$ lies in $0 < d < ½$, suggesting that the log-volatility has long-term persistence. Moreover, the autocorrelation of the log-volatility are positive and decay monotonically and hyperbolically to zero as the lag increases. The spectral density of the log-volatility is shown in Figure 1(d). The spectral density is concentrated at low frequencies: $f_y(\lambda)$ is a decreasing function of $\lambda$ and $f_y(\lambda) \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to 0$, and $f_y(\lambda)$ is integrable. Hence, the spectrum as a whole has a shape typical of an economic variable (see Granger, 1966).

Finally, it is important to point out that Breidt, Crato and De Lima (1998) reported that maximum likelihood estimation in the spectral domain perform well for relatively large samples ($T = 4096$), while the
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performance for small samples ($T = 1024$) may produce large outliers in the estimation of $\phi$, $\sigma^2$, and $d$. Since our sample size ($T = 515$) is small compared with those used by Breidt, Crato and De Lima (1998), we used a Bootstrapped confidence interval for our parameter estimates. Indeed, Breidt et al. (1988, p. 339) present the finite sample properties of the spectral likelihood estimator. In their simulation study of the finite sample properties of the spectral likelihood estimator they consider two different sample sizes ($T = 1024$ and $T = 4096$). Breidt et al. reported that maximum likelihood estimation in the spectral domain perform well for relatively large samples ($T = 4096$), while the performance for small samples ($T = 1024$) may produce large outliers in the estimation of the parameters.

As a consequence of the empirical findings, it is important to develop a model for pricing and hedging derivative securities with prior information on volatility that account for information arrivals and volatility persistence. The model should provide a satisfactory explanation of how investors incorporate in their expectations the long-term behavior of volatility when making financial decisions. In the next two sections, we develop a Bayesian method to price and hedge derivative securities when there is prior information on long-memory volatility in terms of expected values of levels and rates. In our proposal, investors are rational and use, efficiently, all prior information by maximizing Jaynes’ information measure.

4. The Bayesian Approach to Prior Information

In the real world, volatility is neither constant nor directly observed. Hence, it is natural to think of volatility as a random variable with some initial knowledge coming from practical experience and understanding. This is just the Bayesian way of thinking about prior information. Under this approach, prior information is described in terms of a probability distribution (subjective beliefs) of the potential values of volatility being true. In this regard, in Bayesian inference instead of studying volatility, $\sigma^2 > 0$, it is common to study precision, which is defined as the inverse of the variance, $h = \sigma^{-2}$; see, for instance, Leonard and Hsu (1999), and Berger (1985). Thus, the lower the variance, the higher the precision. More precisely, from the Bayesian point of view, we have a distribution, $P_h$, $h > 0$, describing prior information. We will assume that $P_h$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue
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measure \( \nu \), so that the Radon-Nykodim derivative provides a prior density, \( \pi(h) \): i.e., \( dP_h/d\nu(h) = \pi(h) \) for all \( h > 0 \), so

\[
P_h\{h \in A\} = \int_A \pi(h) d\nu(h)
\]

for all Borel sets \( A \).

4.1. Maximum Entropy Priors

There are several well-known methods reported in the Bayesian literature to construct densities that incorporate prior information by maximizing a criterion functional subject to a set of constraints in terms of expected values. Some of them are non-informative priors (Jeffreys, 1961), maximal data information priors (Zellner, 1977), maximum entropy priors (Jaynes 1968), minimum cross-entropy priors (Kullback, 1956), reference priors (Good 1969, and Bernardo 1979), and controlled priors (Venegas-Martínez, De Alba, and Ordorica-Mellado, 1999). We will specialize in this paper in Jaynes' maximum entropy for pragmatic and theoretical reasons that will appear later.

If volatility is persistent, agents will learn in the long run about the likelihood of potential patterns of volatility. Let us suppose that there is information on long-memory volatility in terms of expected values. Specifically, suppose that information on long-memory precision is given by

\[
\int a_k(h) \pi(h) I_{\{h > 0\}} d\nu(h) = \gamma_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N,
\]

where the functions \( a_k(h) \) are Lebesgue-measurable known functions and all the constants \( \gamma_k \) are known. The function \( I_{\{h > 0\}} \) stands for the indicator function defined on \( \{h \mid h > 0\} \). The maximum entropy principle states that among all densities satisfying the given information (constraints) we should choose the one that maximizes

\[
H[\pi(h)] = -\int_{h>0} \ln[\pi(h)] \pi(h) d\nu(h).
\]

\[4\] Other model that uses this functional criterion in pricing derivative securities is that of Avellaneda, Levy and Parás (1995), they assume that potential volatility values occur within a band, more precisely within an open interval.
We define $a_0(h) \equiv 1$ and $\gamma_0 = 1$ to ensure that the solution is indeed a density. Hence, we are interested in finding $\pi(h)$ that solves the following variational problem:

$$\max \quad H[\pi(h)] = -\int_{h>0} \ln[\pi(h)] \pi(h) \, dv(h),$$
subject to $C$: $\int_{h>0} a_k(h) \pi(h) \, dv(h) = \gamma_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N.$

In the sequel, we will assume that the set of the constraints, $C$, forms a convex and compact set on $\pi(h)$ in the topology of $L^2([0, \infty], \pi(h) \, dv(h))$. Since $H[\pi(h)]$ is strictly concave in $\pi(h)$, the solution exists and is unique. In such a case, the necessary condition for $\pi(h)$ to be a maximum is also sufficient. By using standard necessary conditions derived from calculus of variations (see, for instance, Chiang, 1999), we found that

$$\pi(h) = e^{\lambda + \lambda_0} \exp\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k a_k(h) \right\},$$

where $\lambda_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$ are the Lagrange multipliers associated with the above variational problem. Such multipliers are to be determined from $C$.

4.2. Examples of Priors on Long-Memory Volatility

If market volatility is persistent, agents will learn in the long run about the likelihood of both the potential level of volatility and the rate at which volatility changes. Let us suppose that there is initial information on long-memory volatility in terms of expected values. More specifically, suppose that long-memory information on precision is given in terms of expected values of levels and rates. That is, prior knowledge is expressed as

$$\int_{h>0} h \, \pi(h) \, dv(h) = \beta / \alpha, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\int_{h>0} \ln(h) \, \pi(h) \, dv(h) = \varphi(\alpha) - \ln(\beta), \quad (15)$$
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where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\varphi(a) = d\Gamma(a)/da$, and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. Notice that for given expected values on levels and rates, equations (14) and (15) become a nonlinear system in the variables $\alpha$ and $\beta$. It can be easily shown that the Gamma distribution

$$\pi(h | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{h^{\alpha-1}\beta^\alpha e^{-\beta h}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad h > 0, \ \alpha > 0 \ \mbox{and} \ \beta > 0,$$

solves the optimization problem. Another priors of interest could be:

$$\pi\left(\frac{1}{h} \mid \alpha, \beta\right) = \frac{h^{\alpha-1}\beta^\alpha e^{-\beta h}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad h > 0, \ \alpha > 0 \ \mbox{and} \ \beta > 0;$$

$$\pi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \mid \alpha, \beta\right) = \frac{2h^{\alpha+1/2}\beta^\alpha e^{-\beta h}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad h > 0, \ \alpha > 0 \ \mbox{and} \ \beta > 0;$$

and

$$\pi\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) \mid \alpha, \beta\right) = \frac{\beta^\alpha \exp\{-\beta e^{-\log(1/h)} - \ln(1/h)\}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad h > 0, \ \alpha > 0 \ \mbox{and} \ \beta > 0;$$

which stand, respectively, for prior distributions of $\sigma^2$, $\sigma$, and $\log(\sigma^2)$. In any case, the best choice should reflect what has been learned from data. We will also study these possibilities in the rest of the paper.

5. The Bayesian Valuation Problem

Let us consider a Wiener process $W(t)$, $t > 0$, defined on some fixed filtered probability space $(\Omega, F, (F_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$, and European call option on an underlying asset whose price $S(t)$ is driven by a geometric Brownian motion accordingly to

$$dS(t) = rS(t)dt + h^{-1/2} S(t) dW(t),$$

that is, $W(t)$, $t > 0$, is defined on a risk neutral probability measure $P$. The option is issued at time $t_0 = 0$ and matures at date $T > 0$ with strike price $X$. Under the Bayesian framework, we have that the price at time $t_0 = 0$ of the contingent claim when there is information on long-memory volatility, as expressed in (16), is given by
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\[ c(0, S(0); X, T, r | \alpha, \beta) = e^{-rT} E^{(\alpha)} \left[ E \left[ \max(S(T) - X, 0 | S(0)) \right] \right] \]

\[ = e^{-rT} \int_{h>0} \left\{ \int_{s>X} (s - X) f_{S(T)|S(0)}(s) ds \right\} \pi(h) d\nu(h), \]

where

\[ f_{S(T)|S(0)}(s) = \frac{h^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{h}{2T} \right) \left( G(s) + \frac{T}{2h} \right) \right]. \]

and

\[ G(s) = \ln \frac{s}{e^T S(0)}. \]

If we assume that the required conditions to apply Fubinis' theorem are satisfied, then we can guarantee that integrals can be interchanged and (17) becomes (most of the formulas and mathematical details can be found in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1980)

\[ \int_{\alpha_-}^{\beta_+} \gamma_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{e^{-rT} \beta^\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \Gamma(\alpha) \int_{s>X} [1 - (S / X)] (s| \alpha, \beta) ds \]

where

\[ J(s| \alpha, \beta) = \int_{h>0} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{h}{2T} \right) \left( G(s) + \frac{T}{2h} \right) \right] h^{-\alpha - 1/2} e^{\beta h} d\nu(h). \]

Notice now that (19) can be, in turn, rewritten as

\[ J(s| \alpha, \beta) = \exp \left[ -G(s) T / 2 \right] \int_{h>0} \exp \left[ - A(s) h - (B / h) \right] h^{\delta - 1} d\nu(h) \]

where

\[ A(s) = \frac{G(s)^2}{2T} + 2\beta T > 0, \quad B = T / 8, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha > 0. \]

In this case, the integral in (19) satisfies

\[ \int_{h>0} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{h}{2T} \right) \left( G(s) + \frac{T}{2h} \right) \right] h^{\delta - 1} d\nu(h) = 2(B / A(s))^{\delta/2} K_\delta \left[ 2\sqrt{BA(s)} \right] \]

where \( K_\delta(x), \ x = 2\sqrt{BA(s)}, \) is the modified Bessel function of order \( \delta \) which is the solution of the second-order ordinary differential equation (see, for instance, Redheffer 1991).
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\[ y' + \frac{1}{x} y - \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{x^2}\right)y = 0. \]

We also have that \( K_\delta(x) \) is always positive and \( K_\delta(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \). Equation (20) is of noticeable importance, it says that all information on long-memory volatility provided by the prior distribution and the relevant information on the process driving the dynamics of the underlying asset are now contained in \( K_\delta(x) \).

5.1. Constant Elasticity Instantaneous Variance

Let us assume that the underlying asset evolves according to

\[ dS(t) = rS(t)dt + h^{-1/2}S(t)^{b/2}dW(t), \]

where the elasticity of stock returns variance with respect to the price equals \( b - 2 \). If \( b = 2 \) the elasticity is zero and asset prices are lognormally distributed. In this section, we are concerned with the case \( b < 2 \). In this case,

\[ f_{S(t), S(0)}(s) = \frac{h}{\delta} D(BA(s)^{1-2b})^{1/(4-2b)} e^{-h(B + A(s))} I_\delta(2h\sqrt{BA(s)}) \]

where

\[ \delta = 1/(2 - b), \quad D = 2r/(2 - b), \quad B = [DS(0)e^{rT}]^{2-b}, \quad A(s) = (Ds)^{2-b} \]

and \( I_\delta(x), x = 2h\sqrt{BA(s)}, \) is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order \( \delta \). If we assume that prior distribution is described by a gamma density, then

\[ c = \frac{De^{-rT\beta}}{\delta \sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{s > X} (s - X)[BA(s)^{1-2b}]^{1/(4-2b)} Z(s, \alpha, \beta) ds \]

where

\[ Z(s, \alpha, \beta) = \int_{h > 0} h^\alpha \exp[-h(B + A(s))] I_\delta(2h\sqrt{BA(s)}) d\gamma(h), \]
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which is related with the non central chi-square density function. Moreover,

\[ I_{\delta}(2h\sqrt{BA(s)}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^{\delta+2k} \frac{[BA(s)]^{k+\delta}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\delta+k+1)}. \]

Therefore,

\[ Z(s|\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^{\delta+2k} \frac{[BA(s)]^{k+\delta}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\delta+k+1)} \int_{h>0} h^{\alpha+\delta+2k} \exp\{-h(\beta + A(s))\} \, dh \]

which can be used for large enough \( k \).

### 5.2. Asymptotic Approximations

In this section we find an approximate formula for pricing vanilla contingent claims. In order to use asymptotic approximations, we have to make some assumption on the strike price, \( X \). Note first that if the strike price \( X \) is large, then \( x \) is large. In such a case, we have

\[ \delta - \pi = \approx - \delta \delta x \exp x K x K \]

which in practice performs well. In this case, we have as an estimate price

\[ \hat{c} = S(0)L_1(S(0);T,X,r|\alpha,\beta) - e^{-rT} \times L_2(S(0);T,X,r|\alpha,\beta) \quad (21) \]

where

\[ L_1(S(0);T,X,r|\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta^\delta}{S(0)\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{x} \exp\{-[r + (1/2)G(s)](T/A(s))^{1/2}\} K_{\delta}(2\sqrt{BA(s)}) \, ds, \]

and
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\[ L_2(S(0); T, X, r | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_x^\infty \left( \frac{1}{s} \exp\left( -(1/2)G(s) \right) \right) \left( \frac{T}{A(s)} \right)^{5/2} K_\delta \left( 2\sqrt{BA(s)} \right) ds. \]

The integrals \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) can be approximated with simple procedures in Mathematica or MATLAB by using a large enough upper limit in the integral.\(^5\) Figure 2 shows the values \( \hat{c} \) as a function of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) with \( S(0) = 42.00, X = 41.00, r = 0.11 \) and \( T = 0.25 \).

**Figure 2.** Values of \( \hat{c} \) as a function of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \)

5.3. Polynomial Approximations

Polynomial approximations can be done only for numerical values of the parameters. We apply the Frobenius’ method to obtain an approximate polynomial of finite order. Let us consider the particular case \( \alpha = 1/2 \), i.e., \( \delta = 1 \), in equation (19). The following polynomial

\(^5\)The upper limit of the integral \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are such that when a larger upper limit is used, the values of \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) remain unchanged.
approximation is based on Frobenius’ method of convergent power-series expansion:

\[ K_1(x) \approx \frac{1}{x} \left[ x \ln \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) I_1(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{6} a_k \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{2k} + \varepsilon \right], \quad 0 < x \leq 2, \quad (22) \]

where the coefficients satisfy:

\[ a_0 = 1.000000000, \quad a_1 = 0.15443144, \quad a_2 = -0.67278579, \]
\[ a_3 = -0.18156897, \quad a_4 = -0.01919402, \quad a_5 = -0.0110404, \]
\[ a_6 = -0.00004686, \]

and

\[ I_1(x) \approx x \sum_{k=0}^{6} b_k \left( \frac{4x}{15} \right)^{2k}, \quad 0 < x \leq \frac{15}{4}, \]

where

\[ b_0 = 0.500000000, \quad b_1 = 0.87890594, \quad b_2 = 0.51498869, \]
\[ b_3 = 0.15084934, \quad b_4 = 0.02658733, \quad b_5 = 0.00301532, \]
\[ b_6 = 0.00032411, \]

with \( \varepsilon < 8 \times 10^{-9} \). The complementary polynomial is given by

\[ K_1(x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}e^{\varepsilon}} \ln \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) I_1(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{6} e_k \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{-2k} + \rho, \quad x > 2, \quad (23) \]

where

\[ e_0 = 1.25331414, \quad e_1 = 0.23498619, \quad e_2 = -0.03655620, \]
\[ e_3 = 0.01504268, \quad e_4 = -0.00780353, \quad e_5 = 0.00325614, \]
\[ e_6 = -0.00068245, \]

and

\[ I_1(x) \approx x \sum_{k=0}^{6} g_k \left( \frac{4x}{15} \right)^{-k}, \quad x > \frac{15}{4}, \]

where
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Figure 3. Values of $c^{(e)}$ as a function of $\beta$

\[
g_0 = 0.39894228, \quad g_1 = -0.03988024, \quad g_2 = -0.00362018, \\
g_3 = 0.00163801, \quad g_4 = -0.01031555, \quad g_5 = 0.02282967, \\
g_6 = -0.02895312, \quad g_7 = 0.01787654, \quad g_8 = -0.00420059,
\]

with $\rho < 2.2 \times 10^{-7}$. It is important to point out that $K_\delta(x)$ and $I_\delta(x)$ are linearly independent modified Bessel functions, and hence, they determine a unique solution of Bessel differential equation. If we denote by $K_\delta^{(e)}(x)$ the polynomial approximation in (22) and (23), we get from (21) and (20) the following call option price:

\[
c^{(e)} = S(0) L_1^{(e)}(S(0); T, X, r | \alpha = 0.5, \beta) - e^{-rT} X L_2^{(e)}(S(0); T, X, r | \alpha = 0.5, \beta).
\]

As before, integrals $L_1^{(e)}$ and $L_2^{(e)}$ can be approximated by using simple procedures in Mathematica or MATLAB. Figure 3 shows the values $c^{(e)}$ as a function of $\beta$ with $\alpha = 0.5$, $S(0) = 42.00$, $X = 41.00$, $r = 0.11$ and $T = 0.25$.

In the Mexican case, there is not an exchange for trading stock options and the over-the-counter market on stock options is an incipient market, so the data is poor in both quantity and quality. Hence, it
is impossible to carry out a reliable empirical analysis to compare market prices with our theoretical prices. However, we carry out an interesting numerical experiment. In this experiment, we compare our pricing approach with two other prices from models available in the literature. In Figure 4, the case of the classical Black and Scholes’ (1973) price, as a function of the strike price, is considered as a benchmark with parameter values $S_0 = 100$, $T = \frac{1}{2}$, $r = 0.05$, $\sigma = 0.2$, and is represented by the continuous line. The Korn and Wilmott’s (1996) price with subjective beliefs on future behavior of stock prices is represented by the dashed line. Finally, the dotted line shows our price with prior information on long-memory volatility consistent with the parameter values $\beta = 17$ and $\alpha = 0.5$. Intuitively, and as it should be expected, prices with initial beliefs on long-memory volatility on levels and rates take into account more information than prices with only initial beliefs on future levels of stock prices. Therefore, option prices with prior information on long-memory volatility should be higher than option prices with only prior information on future prices. As expected, Black and Scholes prices are smaller than option prices with prior information.

**Figure 4. Option Values as a Function of the Strike Price**

---

6 The parameter values in the Korn and Wilmott’s (1996) work are $\mu = 0.1$, $\alpha = 0.33$, $\beta = 3.33$, and $\gamma = 0.1$.

7 We examined, in this experiment, about 800 different combinations of the parameter values $\alpha$ and $\beta$. 
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6. Summary and Conclusions

We have investigated the persistence and long-memory characteristics of volatility in the Mexican market stock. There are several methods to test for long memory, ranging from fully parametric to non-parametric approaches. We have used both to investigate the existence of long memory in the volatility of the Mexican stock market and show that the results are consistent between them. Moreover, we have seen that autoregressive fractionally integrated moving average processes allow a more flexible modeling of the low-frequency behavior of returns with important implications for the measurement of long-term volatility.

In the light of our empirical findings, we have developed, in a richer stochastic environment, a Bayesian procedure to price and hedge derivative securities when there is prior information on long-memory volatility. Specifically, this information was expressed in terms of expectations on the potential level of volatility and on the rate at which volatility changes. It is important to point out that our theoretical framework led to the modified Bessel functions. By using asymptotic and polynomial approximations of these functions, we have provided several formulas for pricing contingent claims.

The broad message of this paper, although only demonstrated for the Mexican case, is that shocks to volatility persist for a very long time affecting significantly stock prices. Hence, hedging strategies of a long position should be taking into account the long-memory effects in a short position in a call option. This will certainly provide a more effective protection against negative effects of long-range persistence in volatility.

Needless to say, the model is obviously simple and could be extended in several ways. First, further research should be undertaken to deal with the case of American options, which are more popular in derivatives exchanges and over-the-counter markets throughout the world. Second, additional investigation is needed to include in the Bayesian pricing formula the probabilistic behavior of the interest rate; for instance, we might contemplate a term structure driven by a Markovian diffusion process. Third, one of the limitations of our proposal is that there are no transaction costs and more should be done in this regard to obtain more realistic and representative pricing formulas. These extensions will lead to more complex market environments and results will certainly be richer.
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